

A COROLLARY OF RIEMANN HYPOTHESIS

JINHUA FEI

ChangLing Company of Electronic Technology Baoji Shannxi P.R.China

E-mail: feijinhuaoujian@msn.com

Abstract. This paper use the results of the value distribution theory , got a significant conclusion by Riemann hypothesis

Keyword. Value distribution theory , Riemann zeta function

MR(2000) Subject Classification 30D35 , 11M06

First, we give some signs , definition and theorem in the value distribution theory , its contents see the references [1] and [2] .

Definition .

$$\log^+ x = \begin{cases} \log x & 1 \leq x \\ 0 & 0 \leq x < 1 \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that $\log x \leq \log^+ x$.

Set $f(z)$ is a meromorphic function in the region $|z| < R$, $0 < R \leq \infty$, and not identical to zero .

$n(r, f)$ represents the poles number of $f(z)$ on the circle $|z| \leq r$ ($0 < r < R$) , multiple poles being repeated . $n(0, f)$ represents the order of pole of $f(z)$ in the origin . For arbitrary complex number $a \neq \infty$, $n(r, \frac{1}{f-a})$ represents the zeros number of $f(z) - a$ in the circle $|z| \leq r$ ($0 < r < R$) , multiple zeros being repeated. $n(0, \frac{1}{f-a})$ represents the order of zero of $f(z) - a$ in the origin .

Definition .

$$m(r, f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |f(re^{i\varphi})| d\varphi$$

$$N(r, f) = \int_0^r \frac{n(t, f) - n(0, f)}{t} dt + n(0, f) \log r$$

Definition . $T(r, f) = m(r, f) + N(r, f)$.

$T(r, f)$ is called the characteristic function of $f(z)$.

LEMMA 1. If $f(z)$ is an analytical function in the region $|z| < R$ ($0 < R \leq \infty$) , then

$$T(r, f) \leq \log^+ M(r, f) \leq \frac{\rho + r}{\rho - r} T(\rho, f) (0 < r < \rho < R)$$

where $M(r, f) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$

The proof of the lemma see the page 57 of the references [1] .

LEMMA 2. Set $f(z)$ is a meromorphic function in the region $|z| < R$ ($0 < R \leq \infty$) , not identical to zero . Set $|z| < \rho$ ($0 < \rho < R$) is a circle , a_λ ($\lambda = 1, 2, \dots, h$) and b_μ ($\mu = 1, 2, \dots, k$) respectively is the zeros and the poles of $f(z)$ in the circle , appeared number of every zero or every pole and its order the same , and that $z = 0$ is not the zero or the pole of function $f(z)$, then in the circle $|z| < \rho$, We have the following formula

$$\log |f(0)| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(\rho e^{i\varphi})| d\varphi - \sum_{\lambda=1}^h \log \frac{\rho}{|a_\lambda|} + \sum_{\mu=1}^k \log \frac{\rho}{|b_\mu|}$$

this formula is called Jensen formula .

The proof of the lemma see the page 48 of the references [1] .

LEMMA 3. Set function $f(z)$ is the meromorphic function in $|z| \leq R$, and

$$f(0) \neq 0, \infty, 1, \quad f'(0) \neq 0$$

then when $0 < r < R$, have

$$T(r, f) < 2 \left\{ N(R, \frac{1}{f}) + N(R, f) + N(R, \frac{1}{f-1}) \right\}$$

$$+ 4 \log^+ |f(0)| + 2 \log^+ \frac{1}{R|f'(0)|} + 24 \log \frac{R}{R-r} + 2328$$

This is a form of Nevanlinna second basic theorems .

The proof of the lemma see the theorem 3.1 of the page 75 of the references [1] .

The need for behind, We will make some preparations.

LEMMA 4. If when $x \geq a$, $f(x)$ is a nonnegative degressive function , then below limits exist

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{n=a}^N f(n) - \int_a^N f(x) dx \right) = \alpha$$

where $0 \leq \alpha \leq f(a)$. in addition , if when $x \rightarrow \infty$, have $f(x) \rightarrow 0$, then

$$\left| \sum_{a \leq n \leq \xi} f(n) - \int_a^\xi f(\nu) d\nu - \alpha \right| \leq f(\xi - 1), \quad (\xi \geq a + 1)$$

The proof of the lemma see the theorem 2 of page 91 of the references [3] .

Set $s = \sigma + it$ is the complex number , when $\sigma > 1$, the definition of Riemann Zeta function is

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

When $\sigma > 1$, from the page 90 of the references [4], have

$$\log \zeta(s) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s \log n}$$

where $\Lambda(n)$ is Mangoldt function .

LEMMA 5. For any real number t , have

$$(1) \quad 0.0426 \leq | \log \zeta(4 + it) | \leq 0.0824$$

$$(2) \quad | \zeta(4 + it) - 1 | \geq 0.0426$$

$$(3) \quad 0.917 \leq | \zeta(4 + it) | \leq 1.0824$$

$$(4) \quad | \zeta'(4 + it) | \geq 0.012$$

PROOF.

(1)

$$| \log \zeta(4 + it) | \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^4 \log n} \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4} = \frac{\pi^4}{90} - 1 \leq 0.0824$$

$$| \log \zeta(4 + it) | \geq \frac{1}{2^4} - \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4} = 1 + \frac{2}{2^4} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4} = \frac{9}{8} - \frac{\pi^4}{90} \geq 0.0426$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} | \zeta(4 + it) - 1 | &= \left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{4+it}} \right| \geq \frac{1}{2^4} - \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4} \\ &= 1 + \frac{2}{2^4} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4} = \frac{9}{8} - \frac{\pi^4}{90} \geq 0.0426 \end{aligned}$$

(3)

$$| \zeta(4 + it) | = \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{4+it}} \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4} = \frac{\pi^4}{90} \leq 1.0824$$

$$| \zeta(4 + it) | = \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{4+it}} \right| \geq 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4} = 2 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4} = 2 - \frac{\pi^4}{90} \geq 0.917$$

(4)

$$| \zeta'(4 + it) | = \left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\log n}{n^{4+it}} \right| \geq \frac{\log 2}{2^4} - \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{\log n}{n^4}$$

from lemma 4 , have

$$\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{\log n}{n^4} = \int_3^{\infty} \frac{\log x}{x^4} dx + \alpha$$

where $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{\log 3}{3^4}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_3^{\infty} \frac{\log x}{x^4} dx &= -\frac{1}{3} \int_3^{\infty} \log x \, dx^{-3} = \frac{\log 3}{3^4} + \frac{1}{3} \int_3^{\infty} x^{-4} dx \\ &= \frac{\log 3}{3^4} - \frac{1}{3^2} \int_3^{\infty} dx^{-3} = \frac{\log 3}{3^4} + \frac{1}{3^5} \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{\log n}{n^4} \leq \frac{\log 3}{3^4} + \frac{1}{3^5} + \frac{\log 3}{3^4}$$

therefore

$$| \zeta'(4 + it) | \geq \frac{\log 2}{2^4} - \frac{2 \log 3}{3^4} - \frac{1}{3^5} \geq 0.012$$

The proof is complete .

Set $0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{100}$, $c_1, c_2, \dots$, represents positive constant with only δ relevant in the article below .

LEMMA 6. When $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}$, $|t| \geq 2$, have

$$| \zeta(\sigma + it) | \leq c_1 |t|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

The proof of the lemma see the theorem 2 of page 140 and the theorem 4 of page 142 , of the references [4] .

LEMMA 7. Set $f(z)$ is the analytic function in the circle $|z - z_0| \leq R$, then for any $0 < r < R$, in the circle $|z - z_0| \leq r$, have

$$|f(z) - f(z_0)| \leq \frac{2r}{R-r} (A(R) - \operatorname{Re} f(z_0))$$

where $A(R) = \max_{|z-z_0| \leq R} \operatorname{Re} f(z)$

The proof of the lemma see the theorem 2 of page 61 of the references [4].

Now assume Riemann hypothesis is correct, abbreviation for RH. In other words, when $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$, the function $\zeta(\sigma + it)$ has no zeros. Set the union set of the region $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$, $|t| > 1$ and the region $\sigma > 2$, $|t| \leq 1$ is the region D.

Therefore, the function $\zeta(\sigma + it)$ have neither zero nor poles in the region D, so, function $\log \zeta(\sigma + it)$ is a defined multi-valued analytic function in the region D. Every single value analytic branch differ $2\pi i$ integer times.

Assuming there are the points s_0 in the region D, satisfy $\zeta(s_0) = 1$ (If there is not such point s_0 , then the result of lemma 9 turns into $N(\rho, \frac{1}{\zeta-1}) = 0$, the results of the theorem of this article can be obtained directly). For different single value analytic branch, the value of $\log \zeta(s_0) = \log 1$ are different, it can value $0, 2\pi ki, (k = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots)$. We select the single valued analytic branch of $\log \zeta(s_0) = \log 1 = 0$.

Because the region D is simple connected region, so the according to the single value theorem of analytic continuation (the theorem see the theorem 2 of page 276 of the references [5] and theorem 1 of page 155 of the references [6]), $\log \zeta(\sigma + it)$ is the single valued analytic function in the region D. In addition, when and only when $\zeta(\sigma + it) = 1$, have $\log \zeta(\sigma + it) = 0$. In other words, 1 value point of $\zeta(\sigma + it)$ is the zero of $\log \zeta(\sigma + it)$, the opposite is true.

Below, $\log \zeta(\sigma + it)$ always express a single valued analytic branch for we selected.

LEMMA 8. If RH is correct, then when $0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{100}$, $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2} + 2\delta$, $|t| \geq 16$, we have

$$|\log \zeta(\sigma + it)| \leq c_2 \log |t| + c_3$$

proof. In the lemma 7, we choose $z_0 = 0$, $f(z) = \log \zeta(z + 4 + it)$, $|t| \geq 16$, $R = \frac{7}{2} - \delta$, $r = \frac{7}{2} - 2\delta$. Because $\log \zeta(z + 4 + it)$ is the analytic function

in the circle $|z - z_0| \leq R$, so , from the lemma 7 , in the circle $|z - z_0| \leq r$, we have

$$| \log \zeta(z + 4 + it) - \log \zeta(4 + it) | \leq \frac{7}{\delta} (A(R) - Re \log \zeta(4 + it))$$

hence

$$| \log \zeta(z + 4 + it) | \leq \frac{7}{\delta} (A(R) + | \log \zeta(4 + it) |) + | \log \zeta(4 + it) |$$

from the lemma 6 , have

$$A(R) = \max_{|z - z_0| \leq R} \log | \zeta(z + 4 + it) | \leq \frac{1}{2} \log |t| + \log c_1$$

from the lemma 5 , have

$$| \log \zeta(z + 4 + it) | \leq c_2 \log |t| + c_3$$

because $|t| \geq 16$ is real number arbitrarily , so when $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2} + 2\delta$, we have

$$| \log \zeta(\sigma + it) | \leq c_2 \log |t| + c_3$$

The proof is complete .

LEMMA 9. If RH is correct , then when $0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{100}$, $|t| \geq 16$, $\rho = \frac{7}{2} - 2\delta$, in the circle $|z| \leq \rho$, we have

$$N \left(\rho, \frac{1}{\zeta(z + 4 + it) - 1} \right) \leq \log \log |t| + c_4$$

proof. In the lemma 2 , we choose $f(z) = \log \zeta(z + 4 + it)$, $R = \frac{7}{2} - \delta$, $\rho = \frac{7}{2} - 2\delta$, a_λ ($\lambda = 1, 2, \dots, h$) is the zeros of function $\log \zeta(z + 4 + it)$ in the circle $|z| < \rho$, multiple zeros being repeated. The function $\log \zeta(z + 4 + it)$ has no poles in the the circle $|z| < \rho$, and $\log \zeta(4 + it)$ not equal to zero , therefore we have

$$\log | \log \zeta(4 + it) | = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log | \log \zeta(4 + it + \rho e^{i\varphi}) | d\varphi - \sum_{\lambda=1}^h \log \frac{\rho}{|a_\lambda|}$$

from the lemma 5 and the lemma 8 , have

$$\sum_{\lambda=1}^h \log \frac{\rho}{|a_\lambda|} \leq \log \log |t| + c_4$$

because $z = 0$ is neither the zero , nor pole of the function $\log \zeta(z + 4 + it)$, so if r_0 is a sufficiently small positive number , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda=1}^h \log \frac{\rho}{|a_\lambda|} &= \int_{r_0}^{\rho} \left(\log \frac{\rho}{t} \right) d n(t, \frac{1}{f}) = \left[\left(\log \frac{\rho}{t} \right) n(t, \frac{1}{f}) \right] \Big|_{r_0}^{\rho} \\ &+ \int_{r_0}^{\rho} \frac{n(t, \frac{1}{f})}{t} dt = \int_0^{\rho} \frac{n(t, \frac{1}{f})}{t} dt = N \left(\rho, \frac{1}{f} \right) \\ &= N \left(\rho, \frac{1}{\log \zeta(z + 4 + it)} \right) = N \left(\rho, \frac{1}{\zeta(z + 4 + it) - 1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete .

THEOREM . If RH is correct , then when $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2} + 4\delta$, $0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{100}$, $|t| \geq 16$, we have

$$|\zeta(\sigma + it)| \leq c_8 (\log |t|)^{c_6}$$

proof. In the lemma 3 , we choose $f(z) = \zeta(z + 4 + it)$, $|t| \geq 16$, from the lemma 5 , have $f(0) = \zeta(4 + it) \neq 0, \infty, 1$, $f'(0) = \zeta'(4 + it) \neq 0$, and $f'(0) = \zeta'(4 + it) \geq 0.012$, $|f(0)| = |\zeta(4 + it)| \leq 1.0824$. We choose $R = \frac{7}{2} - 2\delta$, $r = \frac{7}{2} - 3\delta$. because $\zeta(z + 4 + it)$ is the analytic function , and have neither zero nor the poles in the circle $|z| \leq R$, therefore

$$N \left(R, \frac{1}{f} \right) = 0 , \quad N (R, f) = 0$$

from the lemma 9 , have

$$T (r, \zeta(z + 4 + it)) \leq 2 \log \log |t| + c_5$$

In the lemma 1 , we choose $R = \frac{7}{2} - 2\delta$, $\rho = \frac{7}{2} - 3\delta$, $r = \frac{7}{2} - 4\delta$, from the maximal principle , in the the circle $|z| \leq r$, we have

$$\log^+ |\zeta(z + 4 + it)| \leq c_6 \log \log |t| + c_7$$

Since $|t| \geq 16$ is arbitrary real number, so when $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2} + 4\delta$, have

$$\log^+ |\zeta(\sigma + it)| \leq c_6 \log \log |t| + c_7$$

therefore

$$\log |\zeta(\sigma + it)| \leq c_6 \log \log |t| + c_7$$

therefore

$$|\zeta(\sigma + it)| \leq c_8 (\log |t|)^{c_6}$$

The proof is complete .

The result of this theorem is better than known results .

REFERENCES

- [1] Zhuang Q.T, Singular direction of meromorphic function , BeiJing: Science Press,1982 . (in Chinese) .
- [2] Yang L , Value distribution theory and new research , BeiJing: Science Press,1982. (in Chinese)
- [3] Hua L.G , Introduction of number theory , BeiJing: Science Press,1979. (in Chinese)
- [4] Pan C.D, Pan C.B, Fundamentals of analytic number theory, BeiJing: Science Press, 1999 . (in Chinese)
- [5] Zhuang Q.T , Zhang N.Y , Complex variables functions , BeiJing: Peking University press , 1984 . (in Chinese)

[6] Hua L.G , Introduction of advanced mathematics (Book One of second volume) , BeiJing: Science Press, 1981 . (in Chinese)